Abelian duality in topological field theories Based on 2112.02199

Yu Leon Liu

Harvard University

March 10, 2023

Yu Leon Liu (Harvard)

Abelian duality in topological field theories

March 10, 2023

< 3





- 2 Mathematical formulation
- 3 Algebraic topology background
- Applications and future directions

▶ < ⊒ ▶

• Abelian duality is a generalization of electro-magnetic duality in general dimensions.

1 + 1D:Sigma model with target U(1)Sigma model with target U(1)2 + 1D:U(1) gauge theorySigma model with target U(1)3 + 1D:U(1) gauge theoryU(1) gauge theory

- 1 + 1D:Sigma model with target U(1)Sigma model with target U(1)2 + 1D:U(1) gauge theorySigma model with target U(1)3 + 1D:U(1) gauge theoryU(1) gauge theory
- In the language of higher form gauge theories, We can view sigma model as 0-form gauge theories, standard gauge theories are 1-form gauge theories.

- 1 + 1D:Sigma model with target U(1)Sigma model with target U(1)2 + 1D:U(1) gauge theorySigma model with target U(1)3 + 1D:U(1) gauge theoryU(1) gauge theory
- In the language of higher form gauge theories, We can view sigma model as 0-form gauge theories, standard gauge theories are 1-form gauge theories.
- Everytime we go up in dimension, one side goes from p-form to (p + 1)-form.

- 1 + 1D:Sigma model with target U(1)Sigma model with target U(1)2 + 1D:U(1) gauge theorySigma model with target U(1)3 + 1D:U(1) gauge theoryU(1) gauge theory
- In the language of higher form gauge theories, We can view sigma model as 0-form gauge theories, standard gauge theories are 1-form gauge theories.
- Everytime we go up in dimension, one side goes from p-form to (p + 1)-form.
- In general, in D dimension, we have an equivalence betweewn p-form and (d p 2)-form U(1) gauge theories.

• Let us quickly sketch a proof of this duality. Consider *p*-form U(1) gauge theory in *D* dimension, with *A* being the *p*-form gauge field, F = dA the curvature.

글 에 에 글 어

- Let us quickly sketch a proof of this duality. Consider *p*-form U(1) gauge theory in *D* dimension, with *A* being the *p*-form gauge field, F = dA the curvature.
- The action can be written as

$$S[A] = rac{1}{g^2} \int dA \wedge * dA$$

where * is the Hodge star operator.

글 에 에 글 어

- Let us quickly sketch a proof of this duality. Consider *p*-form U(1) gauge theory in *D* dimension, with *A* being the *p*-form gauge field, F = dA the curvature.
- The action can be written as

$$S[A] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int dA \wedge * dA$$

where * is the Hodge star operator.

• Now consider a different action, with fields A p-form gauge field, and B a (d - p - 1)-form gauge field:

$$S'[A,B] = g^2 \int B \wedge *B + 2i \int B \wedge dA$$

글 제 제 글 제 .

- Let us quickly sketch a proof of this duality. Consider *p*-form U(1) gauge theory in *D* dimension, with *A* being the *p*-form gauge field, F = dA the curvature.
- The action can be written as

$$S[A] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int dA \wedge * dA$$

where * is the Hodge star operator.

• Now consider a different action, with fields A p-form gauge field, and B a (d - p - 1)-form gauge field:

$$S'[A,B] = g^2 \int B \wedge *B + 2i \int B \wedge dA$$

• If we complete the square and integrate out B, we recover the original YMs action for A.

A B < A B </p>

- Let us quickly sketch a proof of this duality. Consider *p*-form U(1) gauge theory in *D* dimension, with *A* being the *p*-form gauge field, F = dA the curvature.
- The action can be written as

$$S[A] = \frac{1}{g^2} \int dA \wedge * dA$$

where * is the Hodge star operator.

• Now consider a different action, with fields A p-form gauge field, and B a (d - p - 1)-form gauge field:

$$S'[A,B] = g^2 \int B \wedge *B + 2i \int B \wedge dA$$

- If we complete the square and integrate out B, we recover the original YMs action for A.
- However, if we integrate out A, we get the constraint dB = 0. Therefore we can write $B = d\tilde{A}$, for a (d p 2)-form. We ge the YMs action for \tilde{A} .

Yu Leon Liu (Harvard)

Duality of finite gauge theories

• For this talk we are interested in understanding the case that the gauge group A is finite abelian. Note that unlike the U(1) case, *p*-form A gauge theories are topological field theories.

▶ < ⊒ ▶

Duality of finite gauge theories

- For this talk we are interested in understanding the case that the gauge group A is finite abelian. Note that unlike the U(1) case, *p*-form A gauge theories are topological field theories.
- Just as the U(1) case, they appear in different dimensions:

0 + 1D :	Sigma model with target A	Sigma model with target \hat{A}
1 + 1D :	A gauge theory	Sigma model with target \hat{A}
2 + 1D :	A gauge theory	\hat{A} gauge theory

Duality of finite gauge theories

- For this talk we are interested in understanding the case that the gauge group A is finite abelian. Note that unlike the U(1) case, *p*-form A gauge theories are topological field theories.
- Just as the U(1) case, they appear in different dimensions:

0+1D:Sigma model with target ASigma model with target \hat{A} 1+1D:A gauge theorySigma model with target \hat{A} 2+1D:A gauge theory \hat{A} gauge theory

- In 0 + 1D, aka quantum mechanics. Sigma model to A means the Hilbert space is C[A], one state for each element a ∈ A.
 - 0 + 1D: Sigma model with target A Sigma model with target \hat{A}

▶ < ⊒ ▶

- In 0 + 1D, aka quantum mechanics. Sigma model to A means the Hilbert space is C[A], one state for each element a ∈ A.
 - 0 + 1D: Sigma model with target A Sigma model with target \hat{A}
- There is a pairing $\chi: A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow U(1)$, taking $(a, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha(a)$.

In 0 + 1D, aka quantum mechanics. Sigma model to A means the Hilbert space is C[A], one state for each element a ∈ A.

0 + 1D: Sigma model with target A Sigma

Sigma model with target \hat{A}

- There is a pairing $\chi: A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow U(1)$, taking $(a, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha(a)$.
- Using this, we can define the (discrete) Fourier transform:

$$\mathbb{C}[A] o \mathbb{C}[\hat{A}]$$

 $oldsymbol{a} \mapsto \sum_{lpha} lpha(oldsymbol{a}) \cdot lpha$

In 0 + 1D, aka quantum mechanics. Sigma model to A means the Hilbert space is C[A], one state for each element a ∈ A.

0 + 1D: Sigma model with target A Sigma model with target \hat{A}

- There is a pairing $\chi: A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow U(1)$, taking $(a, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha(a)$.
- Using this, we can define the (discrete) Fourier transform:

$$\mathbb{C}[A] o \mathbb{C}[\hat{A}]$$

 $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \sum_{lpha} lpha(\mathbf{a}) \cdot lpha$

• This is the discrete analogue of Fourier transform, where $\chi(x,p) = e^{ipx}$ and

$$\delta_x \mapsto \int_{\rho} e^{i\rho x} \delta_{\rho} = e^{i\rho x}$$

• Now we move on to 1 + 1D:

$$1 + 1D$$
: A gauge theory Sigma model with target \hat{A}

▲ 国 ▶ | ▲ 国 ▶ |

э

• Now we move on to 1 + 1D:

1+1D: A gauge theory Sigma model with target \hat{A}

• For a 1 + 1D topological order we can assign a fusion category. In this case, we assign the fusion category of A representations Rep_A and category of \hat{A} graded vector spaces. Note the fusion product in both cases are symmetric, this is because A, \hat{A} are abelian.

• Now we move on to 1 + 1D:

1 + 1D: A gauge theory Sigma model with target \hat{A}

- For a 1 + 1D topological order we can assign a fusion category. In this case, we assign the fusion category of A representations Rep_A and category of \hat{A} graded vector spaces. Note the fusion product in both cases are symmetric, this is because A, \hat{A} are abelian.
- The abelian duality states that they are equivalence of fusion categories. This is precisely the character theory for finite abelian groups: irreducible representations of A are all one dimensional, labelled by a character $\alpha \in \hat{A} : A \rightarrow U(1)$.

• Now we move on to 2 + 1D, which is the most interesting dimension:

2 + 1D: A gauge theory \hat{A} gauge theory.

March 10, 2023

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

• Now we move on to 2 + 1D, which is the most interesting dimension:

2 + 1D: A gauge theory \hat{A} gauge theory.

• For a 2 + 1D topological order we can assign a modular tensor category. In this case, we assign the Drinfeld (quantum) double to both sides: $Z(\text{Rep}_A)$, $Z(\text{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.

• Now we move on to 2 + 1D, which is the most interesting dimension:

2 + 1D: A gauge theory \hat{A} gauge theory.

- For a 2 + 1D topological order we can assign a modular tensor category. In this case, we assign the Drinfeld (quantum) double to both sides: $Z(\text{Rep}_A)$, $Z(\text{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.
- When A is abelian, we have the $Z(\operatorname{Rep}_A) = \operatorname{Rep}_A \times \operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{A}}$. This is an abelian topological order where each anyon is labelled by its A-charge $\in \operatorname{Rep}_A$ and its S matrix pairing, which defines a map $\hat{A} \to U(1)$, that is a \hat{A} representation. We see that $Z(\operatorname{Rep}_A) = Z(\operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.

► 4 Ξ ►

• Now we move on to 2 + 1D, which is the most interesting dimension:

2 + 1D: A gauge theory \hat{A} gauge theory.

- For a 2 + 1D topological order we can assign a modular tensor category. In this case, we assign the Drinfeld (quantum) double to both sides: $Z(\text{Rep}_A)$, $Z(\text{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.
- When A is abelian, we have the $Z(\operatorname{Rep}_A) = \operatorname{Rep}_A \times \operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{A}}$. This is an abelian topological order where each anyon is labelled by its A-charge $\in \operatorname{Rep}_A$ and its S matrix pairing, which defines a map $\hat{A} \to U(1)$, that is a \hat{A} representation. We see that $Z(\operatorname{Rep}_A) = Z(\operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.
- Take $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$, this is the toric code topological order. Then this is the electro-magnetic duality that exchanges *e* and *m* anyons.

글 에 제 글 에

• Now we move on to 2 + 1D, which is the most interesting dimension:

2 + 1D: A gauge theory \hat{A} gauge theory.

- For a 2 + 1D topological order we can assign a modular tensor category. In this case, we assign the Drinfeld (quantum) double to both sides: $Z(\text{Rep}_A)$, $Z(\text{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.
- When A is abelian, we have the $Z(\operatorname{Rep}_A) = \operatorname{Rep}_A \times \operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{A}}$. This is an abelian topological order where each anyon is labelled by its A-charge $\in \operatorname{Rep}_A$ and its S matrix pairing, which defines a map $\hat{A} \to U(1)$, that is a \hat{A} representation. We see that $Z(\operatorname{Rep}_A) = Z(\operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{A}})$.
- Take $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$, this is the toric code topological order. Then this is the electro-magnetic duality that exchanges *e* and *m* anyons.
- Remark: currently there is a lot of work on symmetry TFT. In the end, I will relate this duality to gauging/ungauging, Kramers-Wannier duality via symmetry TFTs.

► < Ξ ►</p>

• We would like to give a mathematically rigorous proof of the duality of finite abelian gauge theories. Unlike the U(1) case, these *p*-form gauge theories with finite group A are topological. Topological field theories has a solid mathematical foundation:

- We would like to give a mathematically rigorous proof of the duality of finite abelian gauge theories. Unlike the U(1) case, these *p*-form gauge theories with finite group A are topological. Topological field theories has a solid mathematical foundation:
- Fix a dimension D. Let $Bord_D$ be the category with objects D-1 dimensional manifolds N, and morphisms are bordisms between them.

- We would like to give a mathematically rigorous proof of the duality of finite abelian gauge theories. Unlike the U(1) case, these *p*-form gauge theories with finite group A are topological. Topological field theories has a solid mathematical foundation:
- Fix a dimension D. Let $Bord_D$ be the category with objects D-1 dimensional manifolds N, and morphisms are bordisms between them.
- Often we also like to add tangential structures on the manifolds and bordisms, such as orientations, spin structures. Furthermore, if we have a (background) *G* symmetry, we can also consider manifolds and bordisms with principal *G* bundles.

Image: A test in te

- We would like to give a mathematically rigorous proof of the duality of finite abelian gauge theories. Unlike the U(1) case, these *p*-form gauge theories with finite group A are topological. Topological field theories has a solid mathematical foundation:
- Fix a dimension D. Let $Bord_D$ be the category with objects D-1 dimensional manifolds N, and morphisms are bordisms between them.
- Often we also like to add tangential structures on the manifolds and bordisms, such as orientations, spin structures. Furthermore, if we have a (background) *G* symmetry, we can also consider manifolds and bordisms with principal *G* bundles.
- Lastly, let Vect be the category of complex vector spaces and linear maps.

글 제 제 글 제 .

• A topological field theory is a symmetric monoidal functor $Z : Bord_D \to Vect$. It assigns a vector space Z(N) to each D - 1 manifold N, and a linear map $Z(M) : Z(N) \to Z(N')$ for a bordism $M : N \to N'$.

March 10, 2023

▶ < ⊒ ▶

- A topological field theory is a symmetric monoidal functor $Z : Bord_D \to Vect$. It assigns a vector space Z(N) to each D 1 manifold N, and a linear map $Z(M) : Z(N) \to Z(N')$ for a bordism $M : N \to N'$.
- Symmetric monoidal means that it takes $N \sqcup M \to Z(N) \otimes Z(M)$.

→ < ∃→

- A topological field theory is a symmetric monoidal functor $Z : Bord_D \to Vect$. It assigns a vector space Z(N) to each D 1 manifold N, and a linear map $Z(M) : Z(N) \to Z(N')$ for a bordism $M : N \to N'$.
- Symmetric monoidal means that it takes $N \sqcup M \to Z(N) \otimes Z(M)$.
- Since we care about the case where two TFTs are equivalent. Let me define the notion of equivalence: given two TFTs Z_1, Z_2 , an natural isomorphism F between them is the following data:

- A topological field theory is a symmetric monoidal functor $Z : Bord_D \to Vect$. It assigns a vector space Z(N) to each D 1 manifold N, and a linear map $Z(M) : Z(N) \to Z(N')$ for a bordism $M : N \to N'$.
- Symmetric monoidal means that it takes $N \sqcup M \to Z(N) \otimes Z(M)$.
- Since we care about the case where two TFTs are equivalent. Let me define the notion of equivalence: given two TFTs Z_1, Z_2 , an natural isomorphism F between them is the following data:
- for every D-1 manifold N, an *isomorphism* of state space $F(N): Z_1(N) \rightarrow Z_2(N)$.

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

- A topological field theory is a symmetric monoidal functor $Z : Bord_D \to Vect$. It assigns a vector space Z(N) to each D 1 manifold N, and a linear map $Z(M) : Z(N) \to Z(N')$ for a bordism $M : N \to N'$.
- Symmetric monoidal means that it takes $N \sqcup M \to Z(N) \otimes Z(M)$.
- Since we care about the case where two TFTs are equivalent. Let me define the notion of equivalence: given two TFTs Z_1, Z_2 , an natural isomorphism F between them is the following data:
- for every D-1 manifold N, an *isomorphism* of state space $F(N) : Z_1(N) \to Z_2(N)$.
- For every bordism $M: N \rightarrow N'$, we have a commutative diagram:

$$Z_1(N) \xrightarrow{Z_1(M)} Z_1(N')$$

$$\downarrow^{F(N)} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F(N)}$$

$$Z_2(N) \xrightarrow{Z_2(M)} Z_2(N')$$

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

• Now let's define these *p*-form gauge theories. Fix an abelian group *A*, a form level *p* and a dimension *D*. We are going to define $Z_{A,p} : \text{Bord}^{or} \to \text{Vect}$. This is also called untwisted Dijkgraaf Witten theory.

- Now let's define these *p*-form gauge theories. Fix an abelian group A, a form level p and a dimension D. We are going to define $Z_{A,p} : \text{Bord}^{or} \to \text{Vect}$. This is also called untwisted Dijkgraaf Witten theory.
- Fix a D − 1 manifold N, then Z_{A,p}(N) = C[H^p(N; A)] be the vector space with basis the p-th cohomology group of N with A coefficients.

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

- Now let's define these *p*-form gauge theories. Fix an abelian group A, a form level p and a dimension D. We are going to define $Z_{A,p} : \text{Bord}^{or} \to \text{Vect}$. This is also called untwisted Dijkgraaf Witten theory.
- Fix a D − 1 manifold N, then Z_{A,p}(N) = C[H^p(N; A)] be the vector space with basis the p-th cohomology group of N with A coefficients.
- Sanity check: in 2 + 1D, take A = Z₂, p = 1, and N = T² = S¹ × S¹. This theory Z_{A,p} corresponds to the toric code. We see Z_{A,p}(N) = C[H¹(T², Z₂)] = C⁴, which is the dimension of anyons in toric code.

Finite homotopy TFTs continued

• Given a bordism $M: N \rightarrow N'$, we have a span of cohomology groups:



< E

Finite homotopy TFTs continued

• Given a bordism $M: N \rightarrow N'$, we have a span of cohomology groups:



• Now we define $Z_{A,p}(M): Z_{A,p}(N) \to Z_{A,p}(N')$ to be the following:

$$\mathbb{C}[H^{p}(M;A)] \xrightarrow{Z_{A,p}(M)} \mathbb{C}[H^{p}(M;A)]$$
$$a \longmapsto C \sum_{q(b)=a} p(b).$$

for $a \in H^p(N; A)$, viewed as an basis element of $\mathbb{C}[H^p(N; A)]$. Similarly, $b \in H^p(M; A)$.

Finite homotopy TFTs continued

• Given a bordism $M: N \rightarrow N'$, we have a span of cohomology groups:



• Now we define $Z_{A,p}(M): Z_{A,p}(N) \to Z_{A,p}(N')$ to be the following:

$$\mathbb{C}[H^{p}(M;A)] \xrightarrow{Z_{A,p}(M)} \mathbb{C}[H^{p}(M;A)]$$
$$a \longmapsto C \sum_{q(b)=a} p(b).$$

for $a \in H^p(N; A)$, viewed as an basis element of $\mathbb{C}[H^p(N; A)]$. Similarly, $b \in H^p(M; A)$. • C is some constant which is needed for composition of bordisms.

Yu Leon Liu (Harvard)

Abelian duality in topological field theories

Statement of abelian duality

Yu Leon Liu (Harvard)

March 10, 2023

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

13/18

э

Theorem

Fix dimension D and form level p. Let A be a finite abelian group. Then we have an equivalence of higher form finite gauge theories, as functors from $Bord^{or} \rightarrow Vect$:

$$Z_{A,p}\simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}.$$

Theorem

Fix dimension D and form level p. Let A be a finite abelian group. Then we have an equivalence of higher form finite gauge theories, as functors from $Bord^{or} \rightarrow Vect$:

$$Z_{A,p}\simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}.$$

• There is a slight caveat: the two sides are actually equal up to an invertible TFT (a field theory that gives one dimensional state space and phasese to closed *D* manifolds). It doesn't change the state space and is related to the constant *C* above.

Theorem

Fix dimension D and form level p. Let A be a finite abelian group. Then we have an equivalence of higher form finite gauge theories, as functors from $Bord^{or} \rightarrow Vect$:

$$Z_{A,p}\simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}.$$

- There is a slight caveat: the two sides are actually equal up to an invertible TFT (a field theory that gives one dimensional state space and phasese to closed *D* manifolds). It doesn't change the state space and is related to the constant *C* above.
- In fact, we have a generalization of this statement for abelian higher groups symmetry, which goes under the name of π -finite spectra.

• Proving this theorem needs a number of algebraic topology machinaries. Let's give a quick review.

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

 Proving this theorem needs a number of algebraic topology machinaries. Let's give a quick review.

Theorem (Poincare duality)

Let N be an oriented D-1 dimensional manifold, let $[N] \in H^{D-1}(N; \mathbb{Z})$ be foundamental class. then we have an equivalence of groups:

$$\int_{N} : H^{p}(N; A) \simeq H_{D-1-p}(N; A)$$

where the right hand side is the (D - 1 - p)-th homology groups.

Pontryagin-Brown-Comenetz duality

Recall that the Pontryagin dual of abelian group A is defined as Hom(A, U(1)). This forms a duality for finite abelian groups: Â = A. There is a non-denegerate bilinear pairing χ : A ⊗ Â → U(1).

- Recall that the Pontryagin dual of abelian group A is defined as Hom(A, U(1)). This forms a duality for finite abelian groups: Â = A. There is a non-denegerate bilinear pairing χ : A ⊗ Â → U(1).
- Let N be a topological space, then we have an induced pairing on cohomology/homology groups:

$$H_p(N; A) \otimes H^p(N; \hat{A}) \to H_0(N; A \otimes \hat{A}) \xrightarrow{\chi} U(1).$$

- Recall that the Pontryagin dual of abelian group A is defined as Hom(A, U(1)). This forms a duality for finite abelian groups: Â = A. There is a non-denegerate bilinear pairing χ : A ⊗ Â → U(1).
- Let N be a topological space, then we have an induced pairing on cohomology/homology groups:

$$H_{\rho}(N;A)\otimes H^{\rho}(N;\hat{A}) \rightarrow H_{0}(N;A\otimes \hat{A}) \xrightarrow{\chi} U(1).$$

• Brown-Comenetz duality shows that this is in fact a non-degenerate pairing:

Theorem (Pontryagin-Brown-Comenetz duality)

The pairing above makes $H^{p}(N; \hat{A})$ the Pontryagin dual of $H_{p}(N; A)$.

Reminder:

$$\int_{[N]} : H^p(N;A) \simeq H_{D-1-p}(N;A), \widehat{H_p(N;A)} = H^p(N;\hat{A}).$$

• With these 2 theorems in hand in hand, we will construct the isomorphism $Z_{A,p} \simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}$ on state space. Given a *d* dimensional closed manifold, we want to give an isomorphism

$$F(N): \mathbb{C}[H^p(N; A)] \simeq \mathbb{C}[H^{D-p-1}(N; \hat{A})].$$

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Reminder:

$$\int_{[N]} : H^p(N;A) \simeq H_{D-1-p}(N;A), \widehat{H_p(N;A)} = H^p(N;\hat{A}).$$

• With these 2 theorems in hand in hand, we will construct the isomorphism $Z_{A,p} \simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}$ on state space. Given a *d* dimensional closed manifold, we want to give an isomorphism

$$F(N): \mathbb{C}[H^p(N; A)] \simeq \mathbb{C}[H^{D-p-1}(N; \hat{A})].$$

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Reminder:

$$\int_{[N]} : H^p(N;A) \simeq H_{D-1-p}(N;A), \widehat{H_p(N;A)} = H^p(N;\hat{A}).$$

• With these 2 theorems in hand in hand, we will construct the isomorphism $Z_{A,p} \simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}$ on state space. Given a *d* dimensional closed manifold, we want to give an isomorphism

$$F(N):\mathbb{C}[H^p(N;A)]\simeq\mathbb{C}[H^{D-p-1}(N;\hat{A})].$$

• Poincare duality and Brown-Comenetz duality implies that they are Pontryagin dual:

$$\widehat{H^p(N;A)} = H_{D-1-p}(N;A) = H^{D-p-1}(N;\hat{A})$$

∃ ▶ ∢ ∃ ▶

Reminder:

$$\int_{[N]} : H^p(N;A) \simeq H_{D-1-p}(N;A), \widehat{H_p(N;A)} = H^p(N;\hat{A}).$$

• With these 2 theorems in hand in hand, we will construct the isomorphism $Z_{A,p} \simeq Z_{\hat{A},D-p-1}$ on state space. Given a *d* dimensional closed manifold, we want to give an isomorphism

$$F(N):\mathbb{C}[H^{p}(N;A)]\simeq\mathbb{C}[H^{D-p-1}(N;\hat{A})].$$

• Poincare duality and Brown-Comenetz duality implies that they are Pontryagin dual:

$$\widehat{H^{p}(N;A)} = \widehat{H_{D-1-p}(N;A)} = H^{D-p-1}(N;\hat{A})$$

• Therefore discrete Fourier transform gives an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}[H^p(N;A)] \to \mathbb{C}[H^{D-p-1}(N;A)]$

$$\mathbb{C}[H^{p}(N;A)] \to \mathbb{C}[H^{D-p-1}(N;\hat{A})]$$
$$a \mapsto \sum_{\alpha} \alpha(\int_{[N]} a) \cdot \alpha$$

Application to symmetry TFTs

 • Gauging/ungauging: which states that given a field theory Z in 1 + 1 dimension with anomaly-free A symmetry. Then the gauged theory Z/A has \hat{A} symmetry, and we can use it to ungauge the A symmetry: $(Z/A)/\hat{A} = Z$.

¹This can be shown as this duality swaps Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions

- Gauging/ungauging: which states that given a field theory Z in 1 + 1 dimension with anomaly-free A symmetry. Then the gauged theory Z/A has \hat{A} symmetry, and we can use it to ungauge the A symmetry: $(Z/A)/\hat{A} = Z$.
- Here's how we can recover this from our result: we can view Z as a boundary to the 2+1 D $Z_{A,1}$ theory. Under the equivalence $Z_{A,1} \simeq Z_{\hat{A},1}$ in 2+1 D, Z is mapped to the boundary theory Z/A^{-1} . It follows from this that gauging the \hat{A} symmetry recovers Z.

 ¹This can be shown as this duality swaps Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions

 ×<
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 ×
 </

- Gauging/ungauging: which states that given a field theory Z in 1 + 1 dimension with anomaly-free A symmetry. Then the gauged theory Z/A has \hat{A} symmetry, and we can use it to ungauge the A symmetry: $(Z/A)/\hat{A} = Z$.
- Here's how we can recover this from our result: we can view Z as a boundary to the 2+1 D $Z_{A,1}$ theory. Under the equivalence $Z_{A,1} \simeq Z_{\hat{A},1}$ in 2+1 D, Z is mapped to the boundary theory Z/A^{-1} . It follows from this that gauging the \hat{A} symmetry recovers Z.
- As D-1 dimensional theory Z with (p-1)-form A symmetry can be viewed as boundaries of the D dimensional $Z_{A,p}$ TFT, we see that we have an extension of gauging/ungauging to general dimensions, where the dual theory has (D-p-1)-form \hat{A} symmetries.

 ¹This can be shown as this duality swaps Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions

 Image: State State

• Gauging subgroup symmetries.

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

э

- Gauging subgroup symmetries.
- Continuous symmetries.

▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

э

- Gauging subgroup symmetries.
- Continuous symmetries.
- Dualities of non-abelian symmetries.

< 3

- Gauging subgroup symmetries.
- Continuous symmetries.
- Dualities of non-abelian symmetries.
- Over other coefficients: chromatic abelian duality.