

UPPER BOUNDS FOR Erdős PROBLEM #327 AND ITS k -VARIANT

YU LEON LIU

ABSTRACT. Let $k \geq 1$, and let $f_k(N)$ denote the maximum size of a subset $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $a + b \nmid k a b$ for all distinct $a, b \in A$. Set

$$\alpha_k := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_k(N)}{N}.$$

We introduce a smooth-rough decomposition method that gives explicit upper bounds for α_k . For each finite set of primes P and integer cutoff $X \geq 1$, the bound reduces to a finite computation of the prefix-by-prefix maximum size of a k -admissible subset of the P -smooth integers up to X . Certified computations with $P = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ and $X = 2000$ give

$$\alpha_1 \leq 0.7769, \quad \alpha_2 \leq 0.7630.$$

Both bounds improve van Doorn's $25/28$ bound for Erdős Problem #327.

Erdős Problem #327 asks how large a subset $A \subseteq [1, N]$ can be if no two distinct elements $a, b \in A$ satisfy $a + b \mid ab$, and asks a stronger variant with $a + b \nmid 2ab$. The odd integers show that the first problem has density at least $1/2$. The purpose of this note is to give explicit upper bounds for these densities using a finite computation on smooth numbers.

Definition 1. Fix an integer $k \geq 1$. A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be k -admissible if

$$a + b \nmid k a b \quad \text{for all distinct } a, b \in A.$$

Definition 2. For integers $N, k \geq 1$, set

$$f_k(N) := \max\{|A| : A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}, A \text{ is } k\text{-admissible}\},$$

and define the asymptotic density

$$\alpha_k := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_k(N)}{N} \in [0, 1].$$

Observation 3. The set of odd integers is k -admissible for all odd k . It follows that $\alpha_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for k odd.

Erdős Problem #327 [1] asks:

Suppose $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ is such that if $a, b \in A$ and $a \neq b$ then $a + b \nmid ab$. Can A be 'substantially more' than the odd numbers? What if $a, b \in A$ with $a \neq b$ implies $a + b \nmid 2ab$? Must $|A| = o(N)$?

In our notation, the first question asks whether one can substantially improve on the lower bound $\alpha_1 \geq 1/2$ furnished by the odd integers ($f_1(N) \geq \lceil N/2 \rceil$). The second question asks whether $\alpha_2 = 0$. For $k = 1$, van Doorn [2] proved the upper bound

$$(4) \quad \alpha_1 \leq \frac{25}{28} \approx 0.8929.$$

A trivial observation transfers this to all $k \geq 1$: if $a + b \mid ab$ then $a + b \mid k a b$, so every k -admissible set is also 1-admissible. Hence $f_k(N) \leq f_1(N)$ and

$$(5) \quad \alpha_k \leq \frac{25}{28} \approx 0.8929 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1.$$

The question whether $\alpha_2 = 0$ remains open.

We investigate upper bounds for α_k . Our main result is the following.

Theorem 6. *We have the bounds*

$$\alpha_1 \leq 0.7769, \quad \alpha_2 \leq 0.7630.$$

This follows directly from Theorem 19 applied at $P = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ and $X = 2000$, together with the numerical computation of $\Phi_{P,k}(X)$ recorded in Table 1. The α_1 bound improves van Doorn's 25/28 bound (4), and the α_2 bound improves the inherited bound (5).

To start we use the smooth-rough decomposition:

Definition 7. Let P be a finite subset of primes. A positive integer n is *P -smooth* if all of its prime factors are in P , and it is *P -rough* if it is coprime to every prime in P . We denote by S_P the set of P -smooth integers. In particular, $1 \in S_P$ vacuously.

Then, given P , every positive integer n uniquely decomposes as $n = m \cdot c$ where c is P -smooth and m is P -rough.

Observation 8. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a finite set, and for each P -rough integer m define $A_m := \{c \in S_P : m \cdot c \in A\}$. The unique decomposition of integers into P -smooth and P -rough parts gives the disjoint partition

$$(9) \quad A = \bigsqcup_{m \text{ } P\text{-rough}} m \cdot A_m.$$

Lemma 10. *Let $A \subseteq [1, N]$ be k -admissible and m a P -rough integer. Then A_m is also k -admissible.*

Proof. Let $c, d \in A_m$ be distinct. Then $mc, md \in A$ are distinct. If $c + d \mid kcd$, then

$$mc + md = m(c + d) \mid m^2 \cdot kcd = k(mc)(md),$$

contradicting the k -admissibility of A . Hence $c + d \nmid kcd$, so A_m is k -admissible. \square

Next we introduce a cutoff and the associated quantities.

Definition 11. Let X be a positive integer and P a finite subset of primes. We define

$$H_P(X) := \sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ c \leq X}} \frac{1}{c}.$$

We also set $H_P(\infty) := \sum_{c \in S_P} 1/c$. By the Euler product formula, we have

$$(12) \quad \rho_P := \prod_{p \in P} (1 - 1/p) = (H_P(\infty))^{-1}.$$

We have the following estimate for P -rough numbers at most some cutoff Y :

Lemma 13. *Let P be a finite subset of primes and $Y > 0$. Then*

$$(14) \quad \#\{m \leq Y : m \text{ is } P\text{-rough}\} = \rho_P \cdot Y + O(2^{|P|}),$$

in particular, for a fixed P , the error is $O_P(1)$, hence $o(Y)$ as $Y \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By inclusion-exclusion,

$$(15) \quad \#\{m \leq Y : m \text{ is } P\text{-rough}\} = \sum_{S \subseteq P} (-1)^{|S|} \left\lfloor \frac{Y}{\prod_{p \in S} p} \right\rfloor.$$

Replacing each floor by its argument introduces an error of $O(1)$ in each of the $2^{|P|}$ summands, giving

$$\begin{aligned}
 \#\{m \leq Y : m \text{ is } P\text{-rough}\} &= Y \sum_{S \subseteq P} \frac{(-1)^{|S|}}{\prod_{p \in S} p} + O(2^{|P|}) \\
 (16) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= Y \prod_{p \in P} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + O(2^{|P|}) \\
 &= \rho_P \cdot Y + O(2^{|P|}).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we define the key quantity $\Phi_{P,k}$.

Definition 17. Let P be a finite subset of primes and $X \geq 1$ an integer. List the elements of $S_P \cap [1, X]$ in increasing order as $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_s$ (with $c_1 = 1$), and for each $1 \leq j \leq s$ define

$$\beta_j := \max\{|I| : I \subseteq \{c_1, \dots, c_j\}, I \text{ is } k\text{-admissible}\},$$

with the convention $\beta_0 := 0$. We then set

$$(18) \qquad \qquad \qquad \Phi_{P,k}(X) := \frac{\beta_s}{c_s} + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \beta_j \left(\frac{1}{c_j} - \frac{1}{c_{j+1}}\right).$$

We are now ready for the main theorem:

Theorem 19. For every integer $k \geq 1$, finite subset of primes P , and integer cutoff $X \geq 1$, we have

$$\alpha_k \leq 1 - \rho_P \cdot (H_P(X) - \Phi_{P,k}(X)).$$

Proof. Take $N > 0$ and let $A \subseteq [1, N]$ be a k -admissible set. For every P -rough integer m , recall the set A_m from Observation 8. It is k -admissible by Lemma 10. Exchanging the order of summation,

$$(20) \qquad |A| = \sum_{m \text{ } P\text{-rough}} |A_m| = \sum_{m \text{ } P\text{-rough}} \sum_{c \in A_m} 1 = \sum_{c \in S_P} r(c) = \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ c \leq X}} r(c)}_{\text{head}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ X < c \leq N}} r(c)}_{\text{tail}},$$

where $r(c) := \#\{m : m \text{ is } P\text{-rough}, cm \in A\}$.

Tail. Applying Lemma 13 with $Y = N/c$, we have $r(c) \leq \rho_P(N/c) + O_P(1)$ for each c . Summed over P -smooth $c \leq N$, the accumulated error is $O_P(|S_P \cap [1, N]|) = O_P((\log N)^{|P|}) = o(N)$ for fixed P , so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ X < c \leq N}} r(c) &\leq \rho_P N \sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ X < c \leq N}} \frac{1}{c} + o(N) \\
 (21) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \rho_P N \sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ X < c}} \frac{1}{c} + o(N) \\
 &= \rho_P N (H_P(\infty) - H_P(X)) + o(N) \\
 &= N(1 - \rho_P H_P(X)) + o(N).
 \end{aligned}$$

Head. Here we parametrize by m again:

$$(22) \qquad \qquad \qquad \sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ c \leq X}} r(c) = \sum_{m \text{ } P\text{-rough}} |A_m \cap [1, X]|.$$

Define $j^*(m) := \max\{j: c_j \leq \min(X, N/m)\}$, with the convention that $j^*(m) = 0$ when $N/m < c_1 = 1$ (in which case $A_m \cap [1, X] = \emptyset$, consistent with $\beta_0 = 0$). It follows that $A_m \cap [1, X]$ is a k -admissible set contained in $\{c_1, \dots, c_{j^*(m)}\}$; the definition of β_j in Definition 17 implies that $|A_m \cap [1, X]| \leq \beta_{j^*(m)}$.

Next we group m by $j^*(m)$. By Lemma 13, for $1 \leq j \leq s-1$ the number of P -rough m with $N/c_{j+1} < m \leq N/c_j$ is $\rho_P N(1/c_j - 1/c_{j+1}) + o(N)$; for $j = s$ the number of P -rough m with $m \leq N/c_s$ is $\rho_P N/c_s + o(N)$. It follows from Equation (22) that

$$(23) \quad \sum_{\substack{c \in S_P \\ c \leq X}} r(c) \leq \beta_s \rho_P N/c_s + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \beta_j \rho_P N(1/c_j - 1/c_{j+1}) + o(N) = \rho_P N \Phi_{P,k}(X) + o(N).$$

Combining. Putting the head and tail together,

$$(24) \quad |A| \leq N(1 - \rho_P \cdot (H_P(X) - \Phi_{P,k}(X))) + o(N),$$

and the result follows by dividing by N and taking $N \rightarrow \infty$. \square

We conclude with the finite computations used in Theorem 6. For each choice of P , X , and k , we computed the prefix maxima β_j appearing in Definition 17 and then evaluated the bound in Theorem 19. The resulting upper bounds are summarized in Table 1. The computation script is available at https://github.com/leon2k2k2k/leon2k2k2k.github.io/blob/master/erdos327_cert.py.

P	X	$k = 1$ bound	$k = 2$ bound	$k = 3$ bound
$\{2, 3\}$	100	0.8631	0.8631	0.6686
$\{2, 3, 5\}$	200	0.8249	0.8188	0.6440
$\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$	500	0.7990	0.7907	0.6224
$\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$	1000	0.7915	0.7830	0.6148
$\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\}$	1000	0.7870	0.7773	0.6149
$\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$	1000	0.7895	0.7751	0.6271
$\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$	2000	0.7769	0.7630	0.6089

TABLE 1. Upper bounds for α_k obtained from Theorem 19. Note that the second-to-last row ($P = \{2, \dots, 19\}$, $X = 1000$) gives *weaker* bounds than the bottom row ($P = \{2, \dots, 13\}$, $X = 2000$), illustrating that at this scale doubling the cutoff X is more effective than adding further primes to P .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Some computations and drafting were assisted by AI-based tools (OpenAI's GPT-5.5 in conjunction with the Rethlas open-source agentic mathematics-research pipeline). All mathematical arguments, computations, and claims in the final manuscript were independently checked by the author, who takes full responsibility for the work.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. F. Bloom, *Erdős Problem #327*, <https://www.erdosproblems.com/327>, accessed 13 May 2026. **1**
- [2] W. van Doorn, Forum comment on Erdős Problem #301 (multiplicative-shift covering argument giving the 25/28 upper bound), <https://www.erdosproblems.com/301>, accessed 13 May 2026. **1**

1 OXFORD ST, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

Email address: yuleonliu@math.harvard.edu